arXiv:0810.3879v1 [nlin.SI] 21 Oct 2008

Integrable pseudopotentials related to elliptic curves

A.V. Odesskii [1,2], **V.V. Sokolov** [2]

[1] Brock University (Canada)

[2] Landau Institute for Theoretical Physics (Russia)

Abstract

We construct integrable pseudopotentials with an arbitrary number of fields in terms of elliptic generalization of hypergeometric functions in several variables. These pseudopotentials yield some integrable (2+1)-dimensional hydrodynamic type systems. An interesting class of integrable (1+1)-dimensional hydrodynamic type systems is also generated by our pseudopotentials.

MSC numbers: 17B80, 17B63, 32L81, 14H70

Address: L.D. Landau Institute for Theoretical Physics of Russian Academy of Sciences, Kosygina 2, 119334, Moscow, Russia

E-mail: aodesski@brocku.ca, sokolov@itp.ac.ru

Contents

1 Introduction 3

2 Elliptic hypergeometric functions 6

3 Elliptic pseudopotentials of defect 0 7

4 Elliptic pseudopotentials of defect $k > 0$ 10

5 Integrable (1+1)-dimensional hydrodynamic-type systems and hydrodynamic reductions 14

1 Introduction

In [1] a wide class of 3-dimensional integrable PDEs of the form

$$\sum_{j=1}^{m} a_{ij}(\mathbf{u})\, u_{j,t_1} + \sum_{j=1}^{m} b_{ij}(\mathbf{u})\, u_{j,t_2} + \sum_{j=1}^{m} c_{ij}(\mathbf{u})\, u_{j,t_3} = 0, \qquad i = 1, ..., l, \tag{1.1}$$

where $\mathbf{u} = (u_1, \dots, u_m)$ was constructed. The coefficients of these PDEs were written in terms of generalized hypergeometric functions [2]. By the integrability of (1.1) we mean the existence of a pseudopotential representation[1]

$$\psi_{t_2} = A(p, \mathbf{u}), \qquad \psi_{t_3} = B(p, \mathbf{u}), \qquad \text{where} \quad p = \psi_{t_1}. \tag{1.2}$$

Such a pseudopotential representation is a dispersionless version [3, 4] of the zero curvature representation, which is a basic notion in the integrability theory of solitonic equations (see [5]). One of the interesting and attractive features of the theory of integrable systems (1.1) is that the dependence of the pseudopotentials on p can be much more complicated then in the solitonic case. In [6, 7] some important examples of pseudopotentials A, B related to the Whitham averaging procedure for integrable dispersion PDEs and to the Frobenious manifolds were found. These examples are related to the universal algebraic curve of genus g with M punctures for arbitrary $g,\ M$. More precisely, the point $\left(\frac{A_{ppp}}{A_{pp}^2}, A_p\right)$ runs over a curve of genus g while p runs over $\mathbb{C}$ and $\mathbf{u}$ are some coordinates on the moduli space $\mathcal{M}_{g,M}$ of curves of genus g with M punctures.

The pseudopotentials from [1] (see also [8]) were written in the following parametric form:

$$A = F_1(\xi, \mathbf{u}), \qquad p = F_2(\xi, \mathbf{u}),$$

where the ξ-dependence of the functions F_i is defined by the ODE

$$F_{i,\xi} = \phi_i(\xi, \mathbf{u}) \cdot \xi^{-s_1}(\xi - 1)^{-s_2}(\xi - u_1)^{-s_3} ... (\xi - u_m)^{-s_{m+2}}. \tag{1.3}$$

Here $s_1, ..., s_{m+2}$ are arbitrary constants and ϕ_i are polynomials in ξ of degree $m - k$. These pseudopotentials are related to rational algebraic curves. If $s_1 = ... = s_{m+2} = 0$ and $k = 0$, then they coincide with pseudopotentials from [6] related to $\mathcal{M}_{0,m+3}$.

In this paper we construct integrable systems (1.1) and pseudopotentials related to elliptic curve. For these systems $\mathbf{u} = (u_1, \dots, u_n, \tau)$, where τ is the parameter of the elliptic curve. Note that τ is also an unknown function in our systems (1.1). The coefficients of the systems are expressed in terms of some elliptic generalization of hypergeometric functions in several variables. These elliptic hypergeometric functions can be defined as solutions of the following compatible linear overdetermined system of PDEs:

---

[1] This means that (1.1) is equivalent to the compatibility conditions for (1.2).

$$
\begin{gathered}
g_{u_\alpha u_\beta} = s_\beta\Big(\rho(u_\beta - u_\alpha) + \rho(u_\alpha + \eta) - \rho(u_\beta) - \rho(\eta)\Big) g_{u_\alpha} + \\
s_\alpha\Big(\rho(u_\alpha - u_\beta) + \rho(u_\beta + \eta) - \rho(u_\alpha) - \rho(\eta)\Big) g_{u_\beta},
\end{gathered}
$$

$$
\begin{gathered}
g_{u_\alpha u_\alpha} = s_\alpha \sum_{\beta\neq\alpha} \Big(\rho(u_\alpha) + \rho(\eta) - \rho(u_\alpha - u_\beta) - \rho(u_\beta + \eta)\Big) g_{u_\beta} + \\
\Big(\sum_{\beta\neq\alpha} s_\beta \rho(u_\alpha - u_\beta) + (s_\alpha + 1)\rho(u_\alpha + \eta) + s_\alpha \rho(-\eta) + (s_0 - s_\alpha - 1)\rho(u_\alpha) + 2\pi i r\Big) g_{u_\alpha} - \\
s_0 s_\alpha(\rho'(u_\alpha) - \rho'(\eta)) g,
\end{gathered}
\tag{1.4}
$$

$$
g_\tau = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \sum_\beta \Big(\rho(u_\beta + \eta) - \rho(\eta)\Big) g_{u_\beta} - \frac{s_0}{2\pi i}\rho'(\eta) g
$$

for a single function $g(u_1, \dots, u_n, \tau)$. Here and in the sequel $\eta = s_1 u_1 + \dots + s_n u_n + r\tau + \eta_0,\ s_0 = -s_1 - \dots - s_n$, where $s_1, \dots, s_n, r, \eta_0$ are arbitrary constants, and

$$
\theta(z) = \sum_{\alpha\in\mathbb{Z}} (-1)^\alpha e^{2\pi i(\alpha z + \frac{\alpha(\alpha-1)}{2}\tau)}, \qquad \rho(z) = \frac{\theta'(z)}{\theta(z)}. \tag{1.5}
$$

In the above formulas and in the sequel we omit the second argument τ of the functions θ, ρ and use the notation

$$
\rho'(z) = \frac{\partial\rho(z)}{\partial z}, \qquad \rho_\tau(z) = \frac{\partial\rho(z)}{\partial\tau}, \qquad \theta'(z) = \frac{\partial\theta(z)}{\partial z}, \qquad \theta_\tau(z) = \frac{\partial\theta(z)}{\partial\tau}.
$$

It turns out that the dimension of the space of solutions for (1.4) equals $n+1$.

The paper is organized as follows.

In Section 2 we describe some properties of elliptic hypergeometric functions needed for our purposes. In particular, we present an integral representation similar to the representation for the generalized hypergeometric function (see, for example [1]).

In Section 3 for any n we construct pseudopotentials (1.2) with $k=0$ related to the elliptic hypergeometric functions. The pseudopotential $A_n(p, u_1, \dots, u_n, \tau)$ is defined in a parametric form by

$$
A_n = P_n(g_1, \xi), \qquad p = P_n(g_0, \xi), \tag{1.6}
$$

where $g_1,\ g_0$ be linearly independent solutions of (1.4),

$$
P_n(g, \xi) = \int_0^\xi S_n(g, \xi) e^{2\pi i r(\tau - \xi)} \frac{\theta'(0)^{-s_1 - \dots - s_n} \theta(u_1)^{s_1} \dots \theta(u_n)^{s_n}}{\theta(\xi)^{-s_1 - \dots - s_n} \theta(\xi - u_1)^{s_1} \dots \theta(\xi - u_n)^{s_n}} d\xi, \tag{1.7}
$$

and

$$
S_n(g, \xi) = \sum_{1\leq\alpha\leq n} \frac{\theta(u_\alpha)\theta(\xi - u_\alpha - \eta)}{\theta(u_\alpha + \eta)\theta(\xi - u_\alpha)} g_{u_\alpha} - (s_1 + \dots + s_n) \frac{\theta'(0)\theta(\xi - \eta)}{\theta(\eta)\theta(\xi)} g. \tag{1.8}
$$

We call them *elliptic pseudopotential of defect 0.* Such pseudopotentials define integrable systems of the form (1.1) with $m = l = n + 1$. In the case $s_1 = ... = s_n = r = 0$, $\eta_0 \to 0$ our pseudopotentials coincide with elliptic pseudopotentials constructed in [6].

In Section 4 for $k < n$ we construct *pseudopotentials of defect k.* These pseudopotentials define systems (1.1) with $m = n + 1$, $l = n + k + 1$.

A special class of solutions for integrable systems (1.1) depending on several arbitrary functions of one variable can be constructed by the method of hydrodynamic reductions [9, 10]. The hydrodynamic reductions are defined by pairs of integrable compatible (1+1)-dimensional hydrodynamic type systems of the form

$$r^i_t = v^i(r^1, ..., r^N) r^i_x, \qquad i = 1, 2, ..., N. \tag{1.9}$$

These integrable systems also are of interest themselves. A general theory of such type integrable systems was developed in [11, 12].

Section 5 is devoted to hydrodynamic reductions of systems (1.1) constructed in Sections 3,4. In the case $k = 0$ the corresponding systems (1.9) are defined by

$$r^i_t = \frac{S_n(g_1(\mathbf{u}), \xi_i)}{S_n(g_2(\mathbf{u}), \xi_i)} r^i_x, \tag{1.10}$$

where g_1, g_2 are linearly independent solutions of (1.4). For the pseudopotentials of defect $k > 0$ the corresponding formula is similar. The functions $\tau(r^1, ..., r^N)$, $\xi_i(r^1, ..., r^N)$, $u_i(r^1, ..., r^N)$ are defined by the following universal overdetermined compatible system of PDEs of the Gibbons-Tsarev type [9, 13]:

$$\partial_\alpha \xi_\beta = \frac{1}{2\pi i}\Big(\rho(\xi_\alpha - \xi_\beta) - \rho(\xi_\alpha)\Big)\partial_\alpha \tau, \qquad \partial_\alpha = \frac{\partial}{\partial r_\alpha}, \tag{1.11}$$

$$\partial_\alpha \partial_\beta \tau = -\frac{1}{\pi i}\rho'(\xi_\alpha - \xi_\beta)\partial_\alpha \tau \partial_\beta \tau, \tag{1.12}$$

and

$$\partial_\alpha u_\beta = \frac{1}{2\pi i}\Big(\rho(\xi_\alpha - u_\beta) - \rho(\xi_\alpha)\Big)\partial_\alpha \tau, \qquad \alpha = 1, ..., N, \quad \beta = 1, ..., n. \tag{1.13}$$

Recall that here τ is the second argument of the function ρ. It would be interesting to compare formulas (1.11), (1.12) with formulas (3.23)-(3.26) from [14].

It is easy to verify that the system (1.11)-(1.13) is consistent. Therefore our (1+1)-dimensional systems (1.10) admit a local parameterization by $2N$ arbitrary functions of one variable.

For some very special values of parameters s_α in (1.4) our systems (1.10) are related to the Whitham hierarchies [6], to the Frobenious manifolds [7, 15], and to the associativity equation [7, 15].

2 Elliptic hypergeometric functions

Define a function θ in two variables $z,\ \tau$ by (1.5). We assume that $\mathrm{Im}\tau > 0$. The function θ is called theta-function of order one in one variable. Recall the following useful formulas:

$$\theta(z+1)=\theta(z) \qquad \theta(z+\tau)=-e^{-2\pi iz}\theta(z),$$

$$\theta(-z)=-e^{-2\pi iz}\theta(z), \qquad \theta_\tau(z)=\frac{1}{4\pi i}\theta''(z)-\frac{1}{2}\theta'(z).$$

The following statements can be verified straightforwardly.

Proposition 1. The system of linear equations (1.4) is compatible for any constants $s_1,\dots,s_n,r,\eta_0$. The dimension of the linear space $\mathcal{H}$ of solutions for system (1.4) is equal to $n+1$. $\blacksquare$

Remark 1. Some coefficients of (1.4) can be written in a factorized form using the following identity

$$\rho(u_\beta-u_\alpha)+\rho(u_\alpha+\eta)-\rho(u_\beta)-\rho(\eta)=-\frac{\theta'(0)\theta(u_\alpha-u_\beta+\eta)\theta(u_\alpha)\theta(u_\beta+\eta)}{\theta(u_\alpha-u_\beta)\theta(u_\alpha+\eta)\theta(u_\beta)\theta(\eta)}.$$

We call elements of $\mathcal{H}$ *elliptic hypergeometric functions.*

Proposition 2. Define a function $F(u_1,...,u_n,\tau)$ by the following integral representation

$$F(u_1,...,u_n,\tau)=\int_0^1\frac{\theta(u_1-t)^{s_1}...\theta(u_n-t)^{s_n}\theta'(0)^{s_1+...+s_n+1}\theta(t+\eta)}{\theta(u_1)^{s_1}...\theta(u_n)^{s_n}\theta(t)^{s_1+...+s_n+1}\theta(\eta)}e^{2\pi i(s_1+...+s_n+r)t}dt.$$

Then the function F satisfies system (1.4).

Proposition 3. Let $\mathcal{H}=\mathcal{H}_{s_1,\dots,s_n,r,\eta_0}$ and $\widetilde{\mathcal{H}}=\mathcal{H}_{s_1,\dots,s_n,0,r,\eta_0}$. Then $\widetilde{\mathcal{H}}$ is spanned by $\mathcal{H}$ and by the function

$$Z(u_1,...,u_n,u_{n+1},\tau)=\int_0^{u_{n+1}}\frac{\theta(u_1-t)^{s_1}...\theta(u_n-t)^{s_n}\theta'(0)^{s_1+...+s_n+1}\theta(t+\eta)}{\theta(u_1)^{s_1}...\theta(u_n)^{s_n}\theta(t)^{s_1+...+s_n+1}\theta(\eta)}e^{2\pi i(s_1+...+s_n+r)t}dt. \tag{2.14}$$

Moreover, the space $\mathcal{H}_{s_1,\dots,s_n,0,\dots,0,r,\eta_0}$ (m zeros) is spanned by $\mathcal{H}$ and by $Z(u_1,...,u_n,u_{n+1},\tau)$, $Z(u_1,...,u_n,u_{n+2},\tau)$, ..., $Z(u_1,...,u_n,u_{n+m},\tau)$. $\blacksquare$

In the simplest case $n=1$ system (1.4) for a function $g(u_1,\tau)$ has the following form

$$g_{u_1u_1}=\Big((s_1+1)\frac{\theta'(u_1+\eta)}{\theta(u_1+\eta)}+s_1\frac{\theta'(-\eta)}{\theta(-\eta)}-(2s_1+1)\frac{\theta'(u_1)}{\theta(u_1)}+2\pi ir\Big)g_{u_1}-$$

$$\frac{s_1^2\theta'(0)^2\theta(u_1-\eta)\theta(u_1+\eta)}{\theta(u_1)^2\theta(-\eta)\theta(\eta)}g,$$

$$g_\tau=\frac{1}{2\pi i}\Big(\frac{\theta'(u_1+\eta)}{\theta(u_1+\eta)}-\frac{\theta'(\eta)}{\theta(\eta)}\Big)g_{u_1}+\frac{s_1}{2\pi i}(\ln\theta(\eta))''g.$$

If $s_1 = 0$, then the functions $g = 1$ and

$$g = Z(u_1, \tau) = \int_0^{u_1} \frac{\theta'(0)\theta(t+\eta)}{\theta(t)\theta(\eta)} e^{2\pi i r t} dt$$

span the space of solutions. Moreover, Proposition 3 implies that the functions 1, $Z(u_1, \tau)$, ..., $Z(u_n, \tau)$ span the space of solutions of (1.4) in the case $s_1 = \dots = s_n = 0$.

3 Elliptic pseudopotentials of defect 0

For any elliptic hypergeometric function $g \in \mathcal{H}$ we put

$$S_n(g, \xi) = \sum_{1 \le \alpha \le n} \frac{\theta(u_\alpha)\theta(\xi - u_\alpha - \eta)}{\theta(u_\alpha + \eta)\theta(\xi - u_\alpha)} g_{u_\alpha} - (s_1 + \dots + s_n) \frac{\theta'(0)\theta(\xi - \eta)}{\theta(\eta)\theta(\xi)} g. \tag{3.15}$$

Define $P_n(g, \xi)$ by the formula (1.7) if $\mathrm{Re}\,(s_1 + \dots + s_n) > 1$ and as the analytic continuation of (1.7) otherwise.

Proposition 4. The following relations hold

$$(P_n(g, \xi))_{u_\alpha} = -\frac{g_{u_\alpha}\theta(u_\alpha)\theta(\xi - u_\alpha - \eta)}{\theta(u_\alpha + \eta)\theta(\xi - u_\alpha)} e^{2\pi i r(\tau - \xi)} \frac{\theta'(0)^{-s_1 - \dots - s_n}\theta(u_1)^{s_1}\dots\theta(u_n)^{s_n}}{\theta(\xi)^{-s_1 - \dots - s_n}\theta(\xi - u_1)^{s_1}\dots\theta(\xi - u_n)^{s_n}}, \tag{3.16}$$

$$\begin{gathered}(P_n(g, \xi))_\tau = \Big(\frac{1}{2\pi i}\Big(\sum_{1 \le \alpha \le n} \frac{\theta(u_\alpha)\theta'(\xi - u_\alpha - \eta)}{\theta(u_\alpha + \eta)\theta(\xi - u_\alpha)} g_{u_\alpha} - (s_1 + \dots + s_n)\frac{\theta'(0)\theta'(\xi - \eta)}{\theta(\eta)\theta(\xi)} g\Big) - \\ \frac{\theta'(-\eta)}{2\pi i\theta(-\eta)}\Big(\sum_{1 \le \alpha \le n} \frac{\theta(u_\alpha)\theta(\xi - u_\alpha - \eta)}{\theta(u_\alpha + \eta)\theta(\xi - u_\alpha)} g_{u_\alpha} - (s_1 + \dots + s_n)\frac{\theta'(0)\theta(\xi - \eta)}{\theta(\eta)\theta(\xi)} g\Big)\Big) \times \\ e^{2\pi i r(\tau - \xi)} \frac{\theta'(0)^{-s_1 - \dots - s_n}\theta(u_1)^{s_1}\dots\theta(u_n)^{s_n}}{\theta(\xi)^{-s_1 - \dots - s_n}\theta(\xi - u_1)^{s_1}\dots\theta(\xi - u_n)^{s_n}}.\end{gathered} \tag{3.17}$$

Proof. Taking the derivatives of (3.16), (3.17) with respect to ξ, one arrives at theta-functional identities, which can be proved straightforwardly. Moreover, the values of the left and the right hand sides of (3.16) and (3.17) are equal to zero at $\xi = 0$. ■

Let g_1, g_0 be linearly independent elements of $\mathcal{H}$. A pseudopotential $A_n(p, u_1, ..., u_n, \tau)$ defined in a parametric form by (1.6) is called *elliptic pseudopotential of defect 0*. Relations (1.6) mean that to find $A_n(p, u_1, ..., u_n, \tau)$, one has to express ξ from the second equation and substitute the result into the first equation.

Let $g_0, g_1, ..., g_n \in \mathcal{H}$ be a basis in $\mathcal{H}$. Define pseudopotentials $B_\alpha(p, u_1, ..., u_n, \tau)$ of defect 0, where $\alpha = 1, ..., n$, by

$$B_\alpha = P_n(g_\alpha, \xi), \qquad p = P_n(g_0, \xi), \qquad \alpha = 1, ..., n. \tag{3.18}$$

Suppose that $u_1, ..., u_n, \tau$ are functions of $t_0 = x,\ t_1, ..., t_n$.

Theorem 1. The compatibility conditions $\psi_{t_\alpha t_\beta} = \psi_{t_\beta t_\alpha}$ for the system

$$\psi_{t_\alpha} = B_\alpha(\psi_x, u_1, ..., u_n, \tau), \qquad \alpha = 1, ..., n, \tag{3.19}$$

are equivalent to the following system of PDEs for $u_1, ..., u_n, \tau$:

$$\begin{gathered}
\sum_{1\le\beta\le n} (g_q g_{r,u_\beta} - g_r g_{q,u_\beta})(u_{\beta,t_s} + \frac{1}{2\pi i}(\frac{\theta'(u_\beta+\eta)}{\theta(u_\beta+\eta)} - \frac{\theta'(\eta)}{\theta(\eta)})\tau_{t_s})+ \\
\sum_{1\le\beta\le n} (g_r g_{s,u_\beta} - g_s g_{r,u_\beta})(u_{\beta,t_q} + \frac{1}{2\pi i}(\frac{\theta'(u_\beta+\eta)}{\theta(u_\beta+\eta)} - \frac{\theta'(\eta)}{\theta(\eta)})\tau_{t_q}) + \\
\sum_{1\le\beta\le n} (g_s g_{q,u_\beta} - g_q g_{s,u_\beta})(u_{\beta,t_r} + \frac{1}{2\pi i}(\frac{\theta'(u_\beta+\eta)}{\theta(u_\beta+\eta)} - \frac{\theta'(\eta)}{\theta(\eta)})\tau_{t_r}) = 0,
\end{gathered} \tag{3.20}$$

$$\begin{gathered}
\sum_{1\le\beta\le n,\beta\ne\alpha} \frac{\theta(u_\beta)\theta(u_\alpha-u_\beta-\eta)}{\theta(u_\beta+\eta)\theta(u_\alpha-u_\beta)}(g_{r,u_\alpha}g_{q,u_\beta} - g_{q,u_\alpha}g_{r,u_\beta})(u_{\alpha,t_s} - u_{\beta,t_s} + \frac{1}{2\pi i}(\frac{\theta'(u_\alpha-u_\beta-\eta)}{\theta(u_\alpha-u_\beta-\eta)} - \frac{\theta'(-\eta)}{\theta(-\eta)})\tau_{t_s})+ \\
\sum_{1\le\beta\le n,\beta\ne\alpha} \frac{\theta(u_\beta)\theta(u_\alpha-u_\beta-\eta)}{\theta(u_\beta+\eta)\theta(u_\alpha-u_\beta)}(g_{s,u_\alpha}g_{r,u_\beta} - g_{r,u_\alpha}g_{s,u_\beta})(u_{\alpha,t_q} - u_{\beta,t_q} + \frac{1}{2\pi i}(\frac{\theta'(u_\alpha-u_\beta-\eta)}{\theta(u_\alpha-u_\beta-\eta)} - \frac{\theta'(-\eta)}{\theta(-\eta)})\tau_{t_q})+ \\
\sum_{1\le\beta\le n,\beta\ne\alpha} \frac{\theta(u_\beta)\theta(u_\alpha-u_\beta-\eta)}{\theta(u_\beta+\eta)\theta(u_\alpha-u_\beta)}(g_{q,u_\alpha}g_{s,u_\beta} - g_{s,u_\alpha}g_{q,u_\beta})(u_{\alpha,t_r} - u_{\beta,t_r} + \frac{1}{2\pi i}(\frac{\theta'(u_\alpha-u_\beta-\eta)}{\theta(u_\alpha-u_\beta-\eta)} - \frac{\theta'(-\eta)}{\theta(-\eta)})\tau_{t_r})- \\
(s_1 + ... + s_n)\frac{\theta'(0)\theta(u_\alpha-\eta)}{\theta(\eta)\theta(u_\alpha)}(g_q g_{r,u_\alpha} - g_r g_{q,u_\alpha})(u_{\alpha,t_s} + \frac{1}{2\pi i}(\frac{\theta'(u_\alpha-\eta)}{\theta(u_\alpha-\eta)} - \frac{\theta'(-\eta)}{\theta(-\eta)})\tau_{t_s})- \\
(s_1 + ... + s_n)\frac{\theta'(0)\theta(u_\alpha-\eta)}{\theta(\eta)\theta(u_\alpha)}(g_r g_{s,u_\alpha} - g_s g_{r,u_\alpha})(u_{\alpha,t_q} + \frac{1}{2\pi i}(\frac{\theta'(u_\alpha-\eta)}{\theta(u_\alpha-\eta)} - \frac{\theta'(-\eta)}{\theta(-\eta)})\tau_{t_q}) - \\
(s_1 + ... + s_n)\frac{\theta'(0)\theta(u_\alpha-\eta)}{\theta(\eta)\theta(u_\alpha)}(g_s g_{q,u_\alpha} - g_q g_{s,u_\alpha})(u_{\alpha,t_r} + \frac{1}{2\pi i}(\frac{\theta'(u_\alpha-\eta)}{\theta(u_\alpha-\eta)} - \frac{\theta'(-\eta)}{\theta(-\eta)})\tau_{t_r}) = 0,
\end{gathered} \tag{3.21}$$

where $\alpha = 1, ..., n$. Here q, r, s run from 0 to n.

Proof. Taking into account (3.18), we find that the compatibility conditions for (3.19) are equivalent to

$$\begin{gathered}
\sum_{\alpha=1}^{n} \Big(((P_n(g_q,\xi))_\xi (P_n(g_r,\xi))_{u_\alpha} - (P_n(g_r,\xi))_\xi (P_n(g_q,\xi))_{u_\alpha})u_{\alpha,t_s} + \\
((P_n(g_r,\xi))_\xi (P_n(g_s,\xi))_{u_\alpha} - (P_n(g_s,\xi))_\xi (P_n(g_r,\xi))_{u_\alpha})u_{\alpha,t_q} + \\
((P_n(g_s,\xi))_\xi (P_n(g_q,\xi))_{u_\alpha} - (P_n(g_q,\xi))_\xi (P_n(g_s,\xi))_{u_\alpha})u_{\alpha,t_r} \Big) + \\
((P_n(g_q,\xi))_\xi (P_n(g_r,\xi))_\tau - (P_n(g_r,\xi))_\xi (P_n(g_q,\xi))_\tau)\tau_{t_s} +
\end{gathered} \tag{3.22}$$

$$((P_n(g_r,\xi))_\xi(P_n(g_s,\xi))_\tau-(P_n(g_s,\xi))_\xi(P_n(g_r,\xi))_\tau)\tau_{t_q}+$$

$$((P_n(g_s,\xi))_\xi(P_n(g_q,\xi))_\tau-(P_n(g_q,\xi))_\xi(P_n(g_s,\xi))_\tau)\tau_{t_r}=0.$$

Using (1.7), (3.17), we rewrite (3.22) as follows:

$$\sum_{1\le\beta\le n}\frac{\theta(u_\beta)\theta(\xi-u_\beta-\eta)}{\theta(u_\beta+\eta)\theta(\xi-u_\beta)}(S_n(g_q,\xi)g_{r,u_\beta}-S_n(g_r,\xi)g_{q,u_\beta})u_{\beta,t_s}-$$

$$\frac{1}{2\pi i}\sum_{1\le\beta\le n}\frac{\theta(u_\beta)\theta'(\xi-u_\beta-\eta)}{\theta(u_\beta+\eta)\theta(\xi-u_\beta)}(S_n(g_q,\xi)g_{r,u_\beta}-S_n(g_r,\xi)g_{q,u_\beta})\tau_{t_s}+ \tag{3.23}$$

$$\frac{1}{2\pi i}(s_1+...+s_n)\frac{\theta'(0)\theta'(\xi-\eta)}{\theta(\eta)\theta(\xi)}(S_n(g_q,\xi)g_r-S_n(g_r,\xi)g_q)\tau_{t_s}+(q,r,s)=0,$$

where (q,r,s) means the cyclic permutation of q,r,s. Denote the left hand side of (3.23) by $\Lambda(\xi)$. One can check that

$$\Lambda(\xi+1)=\Lambda(\xi),\qquad\Lambda(\xi+\tau)=e^{4\pi i\eta}\Lambda(\xi),$$

and the only singularities of $\Lambda(\xi)$ are poles of order one at the points $\xi=0,u_1,...,u_n$ modulo 1, τ. This implies that $\Lambda(\xi)=0$ iff the residues at these points are equal to zero. Calculating the residue at $\xi=0$, we get (3.20). The calculation of the residue at $\xi=u_\alpha$ leads to (3.21). ■

Remark 2. Given t_1,t_2,t_3, Theorem 1 yields a 3-dimensional system of the form (1.1) with $l=m=n+1$ possessing a pseudopotential representation.

Remark 3. Consider the case $s_1=...=s_n=0$. We have

$$g=c_0+c_1Z(u_1,\tau)+...+c_nZ(u_n,\tau),$$

where $c_0,...,c_n$ are constants. Therefore,

$$S_n(g,\xi)=\sum_{1\le\alpha\le n}c_\alpha e^{2\pi i r u_\alpha}\frac{\theta'(0)\theta(\xi-u_\alpha-\eta_0)}{\theta(\eta_0)\theta(\xi-u_\alpha)}.$$

If we assume $r=0$ and $c_1+...+c_n=0$, then in the limit $\eta_0\to 0$ we obtain

$$S_n(g,\xi)=\sum_{1\le\alpha\le n}c_\alpha\rho(\xi-u_\alpha).$$

A system of PDEs equivalent to compatibility conditions for equations of the form (3.22), was called in [6] a *Whitham hierarchy*. In this paper I.M. Krichever constructed some Whitham hierarchies related to algebraic curves of arbitrary genus g. The hierarchy corresponding to $g=1$ is equivalent to one described by Theorem 1 if $r=s_1=\ldots=s_n=0$, $c_1+..+c_n=0$, and $\eta_0\to 0$ as described above.

4 Elliptic pseudopotentials of defect $k > 0$

In this section we construct *elliptic pseudopotentials of defect k*. Fix k linearly independent elliptic hypergeometric functions $h_1, ..., h_k \in \mathcal{H}$. For any $g \in \mathcal{H}$ define $P_{n,k}(g,\xi)$ by the formula

$$P_{n,k}(g,\xi) = \frac{1}{\Delta} \det \begin{pmatrix} P_n(g,\xi) & P_n(h_1,\xi) & ... & P_n(h_k,\xi) \\ g_{u_{n-k+1}} & h_{1,u_{n-k+1}} & ... & h_{k,u_{n-k+1}} \\ .... & ... & ... & .... \\ g_{u_n} & h_{1,u_n} & ... & h_{k,u_n} \end{pmatrix}. \tag{4.24}$$

Here

$$\Delta = \det \begin{pmatrix} h_{1,u_{n-k+1}} & ... & h_{k,u_{n-k+1}} \\ .... & ... & .... \\ h_{1,u_n} & ... & h_{k,u_n} \end{pmatrix}$$

and $P_n(g,\xi)$ is given by (1.7). Notice that $P_{n,k}(h_1,\xi) = ... = P_{n,k}(h_k,\xi) = 0$. It is easy to see that linear transformations of the form $h_i \to c_{i1}h_1 + ... + c_{ik}h_k$, $g \to g + d_1h_1 + ... + d_kh_k$ with constant coefficients c_{ij}, d_i do not change $P_{n,k}(g,\xi)$.

One can verify that

$$(P_{n,k}(g,\xi))_\xi = S_{n,k}(g,\xi)e^{2\pi ir(\tau-\xi)} \frac{\theta'(0)^{-s_1-...-s_n}\theta(u_1)^{s_1}...\theta(u_n)^{s_n}}{\theta(\xi)^{-s_1-...-s_n}\theta(\xi-u_1)^{s_1}...\theta(\xi-u_n)^{s_n}}, \tag{4.25}$$

where

$$S_{n,k}(g,\xi) = \frac{1}{\Delta}(\sum_{1\le\alpha\le n-k} \frac{\theta(u_\alpha)\theta(\xi-u_\alpha-\eta)}{\theta(u_\alpha+\eta)\theta(\xi-u_\alpha)}\Delta_\alpha(g) - (s_1+...+s_n)\frac{\theta'(0)\theta(\xi-\eta)}{\theta(\eta)\theta(\xi)}\Delta_0(g)) \tag{4.26}$$

and

$$\Delta_\alpha(g) = \det \begin{pmatrix} g_{u_\alpha} & h_{1,u_\alpha} & ... & h_{k,u_\alpha} \\ g_{u_{n-k+1}} & h_{1,u_{n-k+1}} & ... & h_{k,u_{n-k+1}} \\ .... & ... & ... & .... \\ g_{u_n} & h_{1,u_n} & ... & h_{k,u_n} \end{pmatrix},$$

$$\Delta_0(g) = \det \begin{pmatrix} g & h_1 & ... & h_k \\ g_{u_{n-k+1}} & h_{1,u_{n-k+1}} & ... & h_{k,u_{n-k+1}} \\ .... & ... & ... & .... \\ g_{u_n} & h_{1,u_n} & ... & h_{k,u_n} \end{pmatrix}.$$

Proposition 5. The following relations hold

$$(P_{n,k}(g,\xi))_{u_\alpha} = -\frac{\Delta_\alpha(g)\theta(u_\alpha)}{\Delta\theta(u_\alpha+\eta)} \sum_{n-k+1\le\beta\le n} \frac{\theta(u_\beta-u_\alpha-\eta)(P_{n,k}(g,\xi))_{u_\beta}}{\theta(u_\beta-u_\alpha)S_{n,k}(g,u_\beta)} - \tag{4.27}$$

$$\frac{\Delta_\alpha(g)\theta(u_\alpha)\theta(\xi-u_\alpha-\eta)}{\Delta\theta(u_\alpha+\eta)\theta(\xi-u_\alpha)} e^{2\pi ir(\tau-\xi)} \frac{\theta'(0)^{-s_1-...-s_n}\theta(u_1)^{s_1}...\theta(u_n)^{s_n}}{\theta(\xi)^{-s_1-...-s_n}\theta(\xi-u_1)^{s_1}...\theta(\xi-u_n)^{s_n}},$$

where $1 \leq \alpha \leq n-k$, and

$$(P_{n,k}(g,\xi))_\tau = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \sum_{n-k+1 \leq \beta \leq n} \frac{(P_{n,k}(g,\xi))_{u_\beta}}{S_{n,k}(g,u_\beta)} (S'_{n,k}(g,u_\beta) - \frac{\theta'(-\eta)}{\theta(-\eta)} S_{n,k}(g,u_\beta)) + \tag{4.28}$$

$$\frac{1}{2\pi i}\Big(S'_{n,k}(g,\xi) - \frac{\theta'(-\eta)}{\theta(-\eta)} S_{n,k}(g,\xi)\Big) e^{2\pi i r(\tau-\xi)} \frac{\theta'(0)^{-s_1-\ldots-s_n}\theta(u_1)^{s_1}\ldots\theta(u_n)^{s_n}}{\theta(\xi)^{-s_1-\ldots-s_n}\theta(\xi-u_1)^{s_1}\ldots\theta(\xi-u_n)^{s_n}},$$

where

$$S'_{n,k}(g,\xi) = \frac{1}{\Delta}\Big(\sum_{1\leq\alpha\leq n-k} \frac{\theta(u_\alpha)\theta'(\xi-u_\alpha-\eta)}{\theta(u_\alpha+\eta)\theta(\xi-u_\alpha)}\Delta_\alpha(g) - (s_1+\ldots+s_n)\frac{\theta'(0)\theta'(\xi-\eta)}{\theta(\eta)\theta(\xi)}\Delta_0(g)\Big).$$

Moreover, $\dfrac{(P_{n,k}(g,\xi))_{u_\beta}}{S_{n,k}(g,u_\beta)}$ does not depend on g if $n-k+1 \leq \beta \leq n$.

Proof. Taking the derivatives of (4.27), (4.28) with respect to ξ, one arrives at theta-functional identities, which can be proved straightforwardly. Moreover, the values of the left and the right hand sides of (4.27) and (4.28) are equal to zero at $\xi = 0$. ■

Let $g_1,\ g_2 \in \mathcal{H}$. Assume that $g_1, g_2, h_1, \ldots, h_k$ are linearly independent. Define pseudopotential $A_{n,k}(p, u_1, \ldots, u_n, \tau)$ in the parametric form by

$$A_{n,k} = P_{n,k}(g_1,\xi), \qquad p = P_{n,k}(g_2,\xi). \tag{4.29}$$

To construct $A_{n,k}(p, u_1, \ldots, u_n, \tau)$, one has to find ξ from the second equation and substitute into the first one. The pseudopotential $A_{n,k}(p, u_1, \ldots, u_n, \tau)$ is called *elliptic pseudopotential of defect k*.

Theorem 2. Let $g_0, g_1, \ldots, g_{n-k}, h_1, \ldots, h_k \in \mathcal{H}$ be a basis in $\mathcal{H}$ and pseudopotentials $B_\alpha,\ \alpha = 1, \ldots, n-k$ are defined by

$$B_\alpha = P_{n,k}(g_\alpha,\xi), \qquad p = P_{n,k}(g_0,\xi), \qquad \alpha = 1, \ldots, n-k.$$

Then the compatibility conditions for (3.19) are equivalent to the following system of PDEs for $u_1, \ldots, u_n, \tau$:

$$\sum_{1\leq\beta\leq n-k} (\Delta_0(g_q)\Delta_\beta(g_r) - \Delta_0(g_r)\Delta_\beta(g_q))(u_{\beta,t_s} + \frac{1}{2\pi i}(\frac{\theta'(u_\beta+\eta)}{\theta(u_\beta+\eta)} - \frac{\theta'(\eta)}{\theta(\eta)})\tau_{t_s}) +$$

$$\sum_{1\leq\beta\leq n-k} (\Delta_0(g_r)\Delta_\beta(g_s) - \Delta_0(g_s)\Delta_\beta(g_r))(u_{\beta,t_q} + \frac{1}{2\pi i}(\frac{\theta'(u_\beta+\eta)}{\theta(u_\beta+\eta)} - \frac{\theta'(\eta)}{\theta(\eta)})\tau_{t_q}) + \tag{4.30}$$

$$\sum_{1\leq\beta\leq n-k} (\Delta_0(g_s)\Delta_\beta(g_q) - \Delta_0(g_q)\Delta_\beta(g_s))(u_{\beta,t_r} + \frac{1}{2\pi i}(\frac{\theta'(u_\beta+\eta)}{\theta(u_\beta+\eta)} - \frac{\theta'(\eta)}{\theta(\eta)})\tau_{t_r}) = 0,$$

$$\sum_{1\leq\beta\leq n-k,\beta\neq\alpha} \frac{\theta(u_\beta)\theta(u_\alpha-u_\beta-\eta)}{\theta(u_\beta+\eta)\theta(u_\alpha-u_\beta)}(\Delta_\alpha(g_r)\Delta_\beta(g_q) - \Delta_\alpha(g_q)\Delta_\beta(g_r))\times$$

$$
\begin{gathered}
(u_{\alpha,t_s}-u_{\beta,t_s}+\frac{1}{2\pi i}(\frac{\theta'(u_\alpha-u_\beta-\eta)}{\theta(u_\alpha-u_\beta-\eta)}-\frac{\theta'(-\eta)}{\theta(-\eta)})\tau_{t_s})+\\
\sum_{1\le\beta\le n-k,\beta\neq\alpha}\frac{\theta(u_\beta)\theta(u_\alpha-u_\beta-\eta)}{\theta(u_\beta+\eta)\theta(u_\alpha-u_\beta)}(\Delta_\alpha(g_s)\Delta_\beta(g_r)-\Delta_\alpha(g_r)\Delta_\beta(g_s))\times\\
(u_{\alpha,t_q}-u_{\beta,t_q}+\frac{1}{2\pi i}(\frac{\theta'(u_\alpha-u_\beta-\eta)}{\theta(u_\alpha-u_\beta-\eta)}-\frac{\theta'(-\eta)}{\theta(-\eta)})\tau_{t_q})+\\
\sum_{1\le\beta\le n-k,\beta\neq\alpha}\frac{\theta(u_\beta)\theta(u_\alpha-u_\beta-\eta)}{\theta(u_\beta+\eta)\theta(u_\alpha-u_\beta)}(\Delta_\alpha(g_q)\Delta_\beta(g_s)-\Delta_\alpha(g_s)\Delta_\beta(g_q))\times\\
(u_{\alpha,t_r}-u_{\beta,t_r}+\frac{1}{2\pi i}(\frac{\theta'(u_\alpha-u_\beta-\eta)}{\theta(u_\alpha-u_\beta-\eta)}-\frac{\theta'(-\eta)}{\theta(-\eta)})\tau_{t_r})-\\
(s_1+...+s_n)\frac{\theta'(0)\theta(u_\alpha-\eta)}{\theta(\eta)\theta(u_\alpha)}(\Delta_0(g_q)\Delta_\alpha(g_r)-\Delta_0(g_r)\Delta_\alpha(g_q))(u_{\alpha,t_s}+\frac{1}{2\pi i}(\frac{\theta'(u_\alpha-\eta)}{\theta(u_\alpha-\eta)}-\frac{\theta'(-\eta)}{\theta(-\eta)})\tau_{t_s})-\\
(s_1+...+s_n)\frac{\theta'(0)\theta(u_\alpha-\eta)}{\theta(\eta)\theta(u_\alpha)}(\Delta_0(g_r)\Delta_\alpha(g_s)-\Delta_0(g_s)\Delta_\alpha(g_r))(u_{\alpha,t_q}+\frac{1}{2\pi i}(\frac{\theta'(u_\alpha-\eta)}{\theta(u_\alpha-\eta)}-\frac{\theta'(-\eta)}{\theta(-\eta)})\tau_{t_q})-\\
(s_1+...+s_n)\frac{\theta'(0)\theta(u_\alpha-\eta)}{\theta(\eta)\theta(u_\alpha)}(\Delta_0(g_s)\Delta_\alpha(g_q)-\Delta_0(g_q)\Delta_\alpha(g_s))(u_{\alpha,t_r}+\frac{1}{2\pi i}(\frac{\theta'(u_\alpha-\eta)}{\theta(u_\alpha-\eta)}-\frac{\theta'(-\eta)}{\theta(-\eta)})\tau_{t_r})=0,
\end{gathered}
\tag{4.31}
$$

where $\alpha=1,...,n-k$ and

$$
\begin{gathered}
\sum_{\alpha=1}^{n-k}\frac{\Delta_\alpha(g_r)\theta(u_\alpha)\theta(u_\beta-u_\alpha-\eta)}{\Delta\theta(u_\alpha+\eta)\theta(u_\beta-u_\alpha)}u_{\alpha,t_s}-S_{n,k}(g_r,u_\beta)u_{\beta,t_s}-\frac{1}{2\pi i}(S'_{n,k}(g_r,u_\beta)-\frac{\theta'(-\eta)}{\theta(-\eta)}S_{n,k}(g_r,u_\beta))\tau_{t_s}=\\
\sum_{\alpha=1}^{n-k}\frac{\Delta_\alpha(g_s)\theta(u_\alpha)\theta(u_\beta-u_\alpha-\eta)}{\Delta\theta(u_\alpha+\eta)\theta(u_\beta-u_\alpha)}u_{\alpha,t_r}-S_{n,k}(g_s,u_\beta)u_{\beta,t_r}-\frac{1}{2\pi i}(S'_{n,k}(g_s,u_\beta)-\frac{\theta'(-\eta)}{\theta(-\eta)}S_{n,k}(g_s,u_\beta))\tau_{t_r},
\end{gathered}
\tag{4.32}
$$

where $\beta=n-k+1,...,n$. Here q,r,s run from 0 to n and $t_0=x$.

Proof is similar to the proof of Theorem 1. ■

Remark 4. Given t_1,t_2,t_3, Theorem 2 yields a 3-dimensional system of the form (1.1) with $m=n+1$, $l=n+k+1$ possessing a pseudopotential representation. Indeed, formula (4.32) gives $3k$ linearly independent equations if $q,r,s=1,2,3$. Formulas (4.30), (4.31) give $n-k+1$ equations. On the other hand, one can construct exactly k linear combinations of equations (4.32) with $q,r,s=1,2,3$ such that derivatives of $u_i,\ i=n-k+1,...,n$ cancel out. Moreover, these linear combinations belong to the span of equations (4.30), (4.31). Therefore there exist $(n-k+1)+3k-k=n+k+1$ linearly independent equations.

Remark 5. We have to assume $n\geq k+2$ in (4.30), (4.31), (4.32). Indeed, for $n=k+1$ we cannot construct more then one pseudopotential and therefore there is no any system of the form (1.1) associated with this case. However, the corresponding pseudopotential generates interesting integrable (1+1)-dimensional systems of hydrodynamic type (see Section 5). Probably these pseudopotentials for $k = 0, 1, ...$ are also related to some infinite integrable chains of the Benney type [16, 17].

System (4.30)-(4.32) possesses many conservation laws of the hydrodynamic type. In particular, the following statement can be verified by a straightforward calculation.

Proposition 6. For any $r \neq s = 0, 1, ..., n$, system (4.30)-(4.32) has k conservation laws of the form:

$$\left(\frac{\Delta(g_r, h_1, ...\hat{i}...h_k)}{\Delta(h_1, ..., h_k)} \right)_{t_s} = \left(\frac{\Delta(g_s, h_1, ...\hat{i}...h_k)}{\Delta(h_1, ..., h_k)} \right)_{t_r}, \tag{4.33}$$

where $i = 1, ..., k$. Here

$$\Delta(f_1, ..., f_k) = \det \begin{pmatrix} f_{1,u_{n-k+1}} & \cdots & f_{k,u_{n-k+1}} \\ \cdots\cdots\cdots & \cdots & \cdots\cdots\cdots \\ f_{1,u_n} & \cdots & f_{k,u_n} \end{pmatrix}. \qquad \blacksquare$$

Proposition 6 allows us to define functions $z_1, ..., z_k$ such that

$$\frac{\Delta(g_r, h_1, ...\hat{i}...h_k)}{\Delta(h_1, ..., h_k)} = z_{i,t_r} \tag{4.34}$$

for all $i = 1, ..., k$ and $r = 0, 1, ..., n$.

Suppose $n + 1 \geq 3k$; then the system of the form (1.1) obtained from (4.30)-(4.32) with $q, r, s = 1, 2, 3$ consists of $3k$ equations (4.32) (they are equivalent to (4.33)) and $n + 1 - 2k$ equations of the form (4.30), (4.31). Indeed, only $n+1-2k$ equations (4.30), (4.31) are linearly independent from (4.32). Expressing $\tau, u_1, ..., u_{3k-1}$ in terms of $z_{i,t_1}, z_{i,t_2}, z_{i,t_3},\ i = 1, ..., k$ from (4.34) and substituting into $n + 1 - 2k$ equations of the form (4.30), (4.31), we obtain a 3-dimensional system of $n+1-2k$ equations for $n+1-2k$ unknowns $z_1, ..., z_k, u_{3k}, ...u_n$. This is a quasi-linear system of the second order with respect to z_i and of the first order with respect to u_j, whose coefficients depend on $z_{i,t_1}, z_{i,t_2}, z_{i,t_3},\ i = 1, ..., k$, and $u_{3k}, ...u_n$. It is clear that the general solution of the system can be locally parameterized by $n + 1 - k$ functions in two variables.

In the case $2k \leq n + 1 < 3k$ the functions $z_{i,t_1}, z_{i,t_2}, z_{i,t_3},\ i = 1, ..., k$ are functionally dependent. We have $3k - n - 1$ equations of the form

$$R_i(z_{1,t_1}, z_{1,t_2}, z_{1,t_3}, ..., z_{k,t_1}, z_{k,t_2}, z_{k,t_3}) = 0, \qquad i = 1, ..., 3k - n - 1$$

and $n+1-2k$ second order quasi-linear equations. Totally we have $(3k-n-1)+(n+1-2k) = k$ equations for k unknowns $z_1, ..., z_k$. It is clear that the general solution of this system can be locally parameterized by $n + 1 - k$ functions in two variables.

Suppose $n + 1 < 2k$; then we have $n + 1 + k < 3k$, which means that $3k$ equations of the form (4.32) are linearly dependent. Probably in this case the general solution of the system can also be locally parameterized by $n + 1 - k$ functions in two variables.

One of the most interesting cases is $n+1=3k$, when we have a system of k quasi-linear second order equations for the functions $z_1, ..., z_k$. The simplest case is $k=2$.

5 Integrable (1+1)-dimensional hydrodynamic-type systems and hydrodynamic reductions

In this section we present integrable (1+1)-dimensional hydrodynamic type systems (1.9) constructed in terms of elliptic hypergeometric functions. These systems appear as the so-called hydrodynamic reductions of our elliptic pseudopotentials $A_{n,k}$. Results and formulas of this section look similar to the rational case (see [1]). By integrability of (1.9) we mean the existence of infinite number of hydrodynamic commuting flows and conservation laws. It is known [12] that this is equivalent to the following relations for the velocities $v^i(r^1, ..., r^N)$:

$$\partial_j \frac{\partial_i v^k}{v^i - v^k} = \partial_i \frac{\partial_j v^k}{v^j - v^k}, \qquad i \neq j \neq k. \tag{5.35}$$

Here $\partial_\alpha = \frac{\partial}{\partial r^i}$, $\alpha = 1, \dots, N$. The system (1.9) is called *semi-Hamiltonian* if conditions (5.35) hold.

The main geometrical object related to any semi-Hamiltonian system (1.9) is a diagonal metric g_{kk}, $k = 1, \dots, N$, where

$$\frac{1}{2} \partial_i \log g_{kk} = \frac{\partial_i v^k}{v^i - v^k}, \qquad i \neq k. \tag{5.36}$$

In view of (5.35), the overdetermined system (5.36) is compatible and the function g_{kk} is defined up to an arbitrary factor $\eta_k(r^k)$. The metric g_{kk} is called the *metric associated with (1.9).* It is known that two hydrodynamic type systems are compatible iff they possess a common associated metric [12].

A diagonal metric g_{kk} is called a *metric of Egorov type* if for any i, j

$$\partial_i g_{jj} = \partial_j g_{ii}. \tag{5.37}$$

Note that if an Egorov-type metric associated with a hydrodynamic-type system of the form (1.9) exists, then it is unique. For any Egorov's metric there exists a potential G such that $g_{ii} = \partial_i G$. Semi-Hamiltonian systems possessing associated metrics of Egorov type play important role in the theory of WDVV associativity equations and in the theory of Frobenious manifolds [7, 15, 18].

Let $\tau(r^1, ..., r^N)$, $\xi_1(r^1, ..., r^N), ..., \xi_N(r^1, ..., r^N)$ be a solution of the system (1.11), (1.12). It can be easily verified that this system is in involution and therefore its solution admits a local parameterization by $2N$ functions of one variable. Let $u_1(r^1, ..., r^N), ..., u_n(r^1, ..., r^N)$ be a solution of the system (1.13). It is easy to verify that this system is in involution for each fixed β and therefore has an one-parameter family of solutions for fixed ξ_i, τ.

Consider the following system

$$r^i_t = \frac{S_{n,k}(g_1, \xi_i)}{S_{n,k}(g_2, \xi_i)} r^i_x, \tag{5.38}$$

where g_1, g_2 are linearly independent solutions of (1.4), the polynomials $S_{n,k},\ k > 0$ are defined by (4.26), and $S_{n,0} = S_n$ (see (3.15)).

Theorem 3. The system (5.38) is semi-Hamiltonian. The associated metric is given by

$$g_{ii} = \Big(S_{n,k}(g, \xi) e^{2\pi i r(\tau - \xi)} \frac{\theta'(0)^{-s_1 - \ldots - s_n} \theta(u_1)^{s_1} \ldots \theta(u_n)^{s_n}}{\theta(\xi)^{-s_1 - \ldots - s_n} \theta(\xi - u_1)^{s_1} \ldots \theta(\xi - u_n)^{s_n}} \Big)^2 \partial_i \tau.$$

Proof. Substituting the expression for the metric into (5.36), where v^i are specified by (5.38), one obtains the identity by virtue of (1.4) and (1.11)-(1.13). ∎

Remark 6. The system (5.38) does not possess the associated metric of the Egorov type in general. However, for very special values of the parameters s_i in (1.4) there exists $g_2 \in \mathcal{H}$ such that the metric is of the Egorov type for all solutions of the system (1.11)-(1.13).

Proposition 7. Suppose that a solution $\xi_1, ..., \xi_N,\ \tau,\ u_1, ..., u_n$ of (1.11)-(1.13) is fixed. Then the hydrodynamic type systems

$$r^i_{t_1} = \frac{S_{n,k}(g_1, \xi_i)}{S_{n,k}(g_3, \xi_i)} r^i_x, \qquad r^i_{t_2} = \frac{S_{n,k}(g_2, \xi_i)}{S_{n,k}(g_3, \xi_i)} r^i_x \tag{5.39}$$

are compatible for all $g_1,\ g_2$.

Proof. Indeed, the metric associated with (5.38) does not depend on g_2. Therefore the systems (5.39) has a common metric depending on g_3 and on a solution of (1.11)-(1.13). ∎

Remark 7. One can also construct some compatible systems of the form (5.39) using Proposition 3. Set $g_2 = Z(u_1, ..., u_n, u_{n+1}, \tau)$ in (5.39). Here u_{n+1} is an arbitrary solution of (1.13) (with n replaced by $n + 1$) distinct from $u_1, ..., u_n$. It is clear that the flows (5.39) are compatible for such g_2 and any $g_1 \in \mathcal{H}$. Moreover, Proposition 3 implies that the flows (5.39) are compatible if we set $g_1 = Z(u_1, ..., u_n, u_{n+1}, \tau)$, $g_2 = Z(u_1, ..., u_n, u_{n+2}, \tau)$ for two arbitrary solutions $u_{n+1},\ u_{n+2}$ of (1.13).

All members of the hierarchy constructed in Proposition 7 possess a dispersionless Lax representation of the form

$$L_t = \{L, A\}, \tag{5.40}$$

where $\{L, A\} = A_p L_x - A_x L_p$, with common $L = L(p, r^1, ..., r^N)$. Define a function $L(\xi, r^1, ..., r^N)$ by the following system

$$\partial_\alpha L = -\frac{1}{2\pi i} \Big(\rho(\xi_\alpha - \xi) - \rho(\xi_\alpha) \Big) L_\xi \partial_\alpha \tau, \qquad \alpha = 1, ..., N. \tag{5.41}$$

Note that the system (5.41) is in involution and therefore the function $L(\xi, r^1, ..., r^N)$ is uniquely defined up to inessential transformations $L \to \lambda(L)$. To find the function $L(p, r^1, ..., r^N)$ one has to express ξ in terms of p by (1.6) for $k = 0$ or by (4.29) for $k > 0$.

Proposition 8. Let $u_1, \ldots, u_n$ be arbitrary solution of (1.13). Then system (5.38) admits the dispersionless Lax representation (5.40), where $A = A_{n,k}$ is defined by (1.6) for $k = 0$ and by (4.29) for $k > 0$.

Proof. Define $A = A_{n,k}$ by (1.6) for $k = 0$ and by (4.29) for $k > 0$. Substituting A into (5.40) and calculating L_t by virtue of (5.38), we obtain that (5.40) is equivalent to

$$\partial_i L = \frac{\partial_i P_{n,k}(g_2, \xi) \cdot S_{n,k}(g_1, \xi_i) - \partial_i P_{n,k}(g_1, \xi) \cdot S_{n,k}(g_2, \xi_i)}{P_{n,k}(g_2, \xi)_\xi \cdot S_{n,k}(g_1, \xi_i) - P_{n,k}(g_1, \xi)_\xi \cdot S_{n,k}(g_2, \xi_i)} L_\xi.$$

Taking into account (4.25),(5.41) and writing down $P_{n,k}(g_i, \xi)_{u_1}$, ..., $P_{n,k}(g_i, \xi)_{u_{n-k}}$ and $P_{n,k}(g_i, \xi)_\tau$ in terms of $P_{n,k}(g_1, \xi)_{u_{n-k+1}}$, ..., $P_{n,k}(g_1, \xi)_{u_n}$ by (4.27), (4.28), one can readily verify this equality. ■

Let us show that integrable (1+1)-dimensional systems (5.38) define hydrodynamic reductions for pseudopotentials and 3-dimensional systems from Sections 3 and 4.

In [10, 13, 19] a definition of integrability for equations (5.40), (1.2) and (1.1) is given in terms of hydrodynamic reductions.

Suppose there exists a pair of compatible semi-Hamiltonian hydrodynamic-type systems of the form

$$r^i_{t_1} = v^i_1(r^1, ..., r^N) r^i_x, \qquad r^i_{t_2} = v^i_2(r^1, ..., r^N) r^i_x \tag{5.42}$$

and functions $u_i = u_i(r^1, ..., r^N)$ such that these functions satisfy (1.1) for any solution of (5.42). Then (5.42) is called *a hydrodynamic reduction* for (1.1).

Definition 1 [10]. A system of the form (1.1) is called *integrable* if equation (1.1) possesses sufficiently many hydrodynamic reductions for each $N \in \mathbb{N}$. "Sufficiently many" means that the set of hydrodynamic reductions can be locally parameterized by $2N$ functions of one variable. Note that due to gauge transformations $r^i \to \lambda_i(r^i)$ we have only N essential functional parameters for hydrodynamic reductions.

Suppose there exists a semi-Hamiltonian hydrodynamic-type system (1.9) and functions $u_i = u_i(r^1, ..., r^N)$, $L = L(p, r^1, ..., r^N)$ such that these functions satisfy dispersionless Lax equation (5.40) for any solution $r^1(x, t), ..., r^N(x, t)$ of the system (1.9). Then (1.9) is called *a hydrodynamic reduction* for (5.40).

Definition 2 [19]. A dispersionless Lax equation (5.40) is called *integrable* if equation (5.40) possesses sufficiently many hydrodynamic reductions for each $N \in \mathbb{N}$.

We also call the corresponding pseudopotential $A(p, u_1, ..., u_n)$ integrable.

Definition 3 [1]. Two integrable pseudopotentials A_1, A_2 are called *compatible* if the system

$$L_{t_1} = \{L, A_1\}, \qquad L_{t_2} = \{L, A_2\}$$

possesses sufficiently many hydrodynamic reductions (5.42) for each $N \in \mathbb{N}$.

If $A_1,\ A_2$ are compatible, then $A = c_1A_1 + c_2A_2$ is integrable for any constants $c_1,\ c_2$. Indeed, the system

$$r^i_t = (c_1 v^i_1(\mathbf{r}) + c_2 v^i_2(\mathbf{r}))\, r^i_x$$

is a hydrodynamic reduction of (5.40).

Definition 4. By 3-dimensional system associated with compatible functions $A_1,\ A_2$ we mean the system of the form (1.1) equivalent to the compatibility conditions for the system

$$\psi_{t_2} = A_1(\psi_{t_1}, u_1, ..., u_n), \qquad \psi_{t_3} = A_2(\psi_{t_1}, u_1, ..., u_n). \tag{5.43}$$

It is clear that any system associated with a pair of compatible functions possesses sufficiently many hydrodynamic reductions and therefore it is integrable in the sense of Definition 1.

The following statement is a reformulation of Proposition 8.

Theorem 4. The system (5.38) is a hydrodynamic reduction of the pseudopotential $A_{n,k}$ defined by (1.6) if $k = 0$ and by (4.29) if $k > 0$. Recall that we use the notation $S_n \equiv S_{n,0},\ A_n \equiv A_{n,0},\ P_n \equiv P_{n,0}$.

Proposition 9. Suppose $g_1, g_2, g_3, h_1, ..., h_k \in \mathcal{H}$ are linearly independent. Define pseudopotentials $A_1,\ A_2$ by

$$A_1 = P_{n,k}(g_1, \xi), \qquad A_2 = P_{n,k}(g_2, \xi), \qquad p = P_{n,k}(g_3, \xi).$$

Then A_1 and A_2 are compatible.

Proof. Note that the system (1.11)-(1.13), (5.41) does not depend on g_1, g_2, g_3 and therefore we have a family of functions L, ξ_i, u_i, τ giving hydrodynamic reductions of the form (5.38) for both A_1 and A_2. Moreover, according to Proposition 7 the systems

$$r^i_{t_1} = \frac{S_{n,k}(g_1, \xi_i)}{S_{n,k}(g_3, \xi_i)} r^i_x, \qquad r^i_{t_2} = \frac{S_{n,k}(g_2, \xi_i)}{S_{n,k}(g_3, \xi_i)} r^i_x$$

are compatible. ■

Remark 8. This result implies that 3-dimensional hydrodynamic type systems constructed in Sections 3, 4 possess sufficiently many hydrodynamic reductions and therefore are integrable in the sence of Definition 1.

Remark 9. Using Proposition 3, one can construct compatible pseudopotentials A_1 and A_2 depending on different number of variables u_i. Indeed, let $g_1, g_3, h_1, ..., h_k \in \mathcal{H}$ and $g_2 = Z(u_1, ..., u_n, u_{n+1}, \tau)$. Then A_2 depends on $u_1, ..., u_n, u_{n+1}, \tau$ and A_1 depends on $u_1, ..., u_n, \tau$ only.

Acknowledgments. Authors thank B. Feigin, I. Krichever, M. Pavlov and V. Shramchenko for fruitful discussions. V.S. was partially supported by the RFBR grants 08-01-464 and NS 3472.2008.2.

References

[1] *A. Odesskii, V. Sokolov*, Integrable pseudopotentials related to generalized hypergeometric functions, arXiv:0803.0086

[2] *I.M. Gelfand, M.I. Graev, V.S. Retakh*, General hypergeometric systems of equations and series of hypergeometric type, Russian Math. Surveys 47 (1992), no. 4, 1–88

[3] E.V. Zakharov, Dispersionless limit of integrable systems in $2 + 1$ dimensions, in Singular Limits of Dispersive Waves, Ed. N.M. Ercolani et al., Plenum Press, NY, (1994) 165-174.

[4] I.M. Krichever, The dispersionless Lax equations and topological minimal models, Comm. Math. Phys., **143** (1992), no. 2, 415–429.

[5] *V.E. Zakharov, A.B. Shabat*, Integration of non-linear equations of mathematical physics by the inverse scattering method, Func. Anal. and Appl. **13**(3) (1979) 13–22.

[6] *I.M. Krichever*, The τ-function of the universal Whitham hierarchy, matrix models and topological field theories, Comm. Pure Appl. Math., **47** (1994), no. 4, 437–475.

[7] *B.A. Dubrovin*, Geometry of 2D topological field theories. *In Integrable Systems and Quantum Groups*, Lecture Notes in Math. **1620** (1996), 120–348.

[8] *A.V. Odesskii*, A family of (2+1)-dimensional hydrodynamic-type systems possessing pseudopotential, arXiv:0704.3577v3, Selecta Math. (N.S.), **13** (2008), no. 4, 727–742.

[9] *J. Gibbons, S.P. Tsarev*, Reductions of Benney's equations, Phys. Lett. A, **211** (1996) 19-24. *J. Gibbons, S.P. Tsarev*, Conformal maps and reductions of the Benney equations, Phys. Lett. A, **258** (1999) 263-270.

[10] *E.V. Ferapontov, K.R. Khusnutdinova*, On integrability of (2+1)-dimensional quasilinear systems, Comm. Math. Phys. **248** (2004) 187-206, *E.V. Ferapontov, K.R. Khusnutdinova*, The characterization of 2-component (2+1)-dimensional integrable systems of hydrodynamic type, J. Phys. A: Math. Gen. **37**(8) (2004) 2949–2963.

[11] B.A. Dubrovin and S.P. Novikov, Hydrodynamics of weakly deformed soliton lattices. Differential geometry and Hamiltonian theory, Russian Math. Surveys **44**, no. 6 (1989) 35-124.

[12] *S.P. Tsarev*, On Poisson brackets and one-dimensional Hamiltonian systems of hydrodynamic type, Soviet Math. Dokl., **31** (1985) 488–491. *S.P. Tsarev*, The geometry of Hamiltonian systems of hydrodynamic type. The generalized hodograph method, Math. USSR Izvestiya, **37** No. 2 (1991) 397–419. 1048–1068.

[13] *M.V. Pavlov*, Algebro-geometric approach in the theory of integrable hydrodynamic-type systems. Comm. Math. Phys., **272**(2) (2007) 469-505.

[14] *V. Shramchenko*, Integrable systems related to elliptic branched coverings, J. Physics A: Math. and Gen., **46** (42) (2003), 10585–10605.

[15] *A. A. Akhmetshin, I. M. Krichever, Y. S. Volvovski*, A generating formula for solutions of associativity equations. Russian Math. Surveys **54** (1999), no. 2, 427–429.

[16] *E.V. Ferapontov, D.G. Marshal*, Differential-geometric approach to the integrability of hydrodynamic chains: the Haanties tensor, Math. Ann. **339**(1), (2007) 61–99.

[17] *M.V. Pavlov*, Classification of integrable hydrodynamic chains and generating functions of conservation laws, J. Phys. A: Math. Gen. **39**(34) (2006) 10803–10819.

[18] *M.V. Pavlov, S.P. Tsarev*, Tri-Hamiltonian structures of the Egorov systems of hydrodynamic type. Func. Anal. and Appl. , **37**(1) (2003) 32-45.

[19] *A. Odesskii, M.V. Pavlov and V.V. Sokolov*, Classification of integrable Vlasov-type equations, arXiv:0710.5655, Theor. Math. Phys. **154**(2)(2008) 209-219.